Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form

WASHINGTON STATE
RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

Salmon Recovery Funding Board

Lead Entity: Pend Oreille

Project Number: 11-1514

Project Name: Pend Oreille Barrier Assessment and

Prioritization

Project Sponsor: Pend Oreille County

Grant Manager: Dave Caudill

Lead Entity	Date	Application Complete	Status
Early App. Review-Site Visit	6/22/2011	NO	NMI
July Review Panel Mtg.	7/6/2011		
Post Application	8/2011		
Final			
Status Options			
NMI	Need More Information		
POC	Project of Concern (Post Application and Final only)		
FLAGGED	Needs full panel discussion		
	continue in funding process.		

EARLY APPLICATION REVIEW/SITE VISIT – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date: 7/7/2011

Panel Member(s) Name: Kelley Jorgensen and Steve Toth

Early Project Status: NMI

Project Site Visit? No

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

The application would be improved by addressing the following comments provided by the Review Panel during the 2010 grant round:

Please provide more information on the proposed prioritization scheme for future implementation.... The proposal should describe a detailed plan / schedule for implementing the top priority projects, within the context of SRFB funding program, dam relicensing mitigation program, and other potential funding sources, such as USFWS, BPA, and maybe even WDOE/USEPA non-point source water quality programs. This project may be a way to develop a coordinated annual implementation strategy for correcting fish passage barriers.

Please provide more information on the areas being evaluated for diversions on the main stem Pend Oreille. Why was this area excluded from the Screening Action Plan for Surface Water Diversions - Pend Oreille Watershed (WDFW, 2010)? Please provide some context about what areas have been surveyed previously and the number of unscreened diversions found. What will the prioritization criteria be for correcting diversions?

2. Missing Pre-application information.
Please provide a map that shows the proposed area for assessment relative to the other assessments that have been funded and completed (barriers on private land, Priest basin, screening inventory, etc.). Also, please provide a map of projects that have resulted from prior inventories and which barriers have been

corrected and what others are in the planning stages for correction. What is the implementation strategy and schedule for the remaining barriers that have already been inventoried?

3. Comments/Questions:

EARLY APPLICATION REVIEW/SITE VISIT - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manager an e-mail.

All Flagged and NMI projects will be reviewed at the July 6th full Review Panel meeting. Sponsor responses received no later than one week prior to the meeting will be considered by the Review Panel.

Response:
Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.
Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.

JULY 6TH REVIEW PANEL MEETING – REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Early Project Status:

1. Recommended improvements to make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria.

2. Missing Pre-application information.

3. Comments/Questions:

JULY 6TH REVIEW PANEL MEETING - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:
Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.

Salmon Recovery Funding Board Individual Comment Form

WASHINGTON STATE
RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE

Salmon Recovery
Funding Board

Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.

POST APPLICATION - REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Application Project Status:

Refer to Manual # 18, Appendix E-1, for projects that are not considered technically sound. In the "Why" box explain your reason for selecting this as a project of concern.

1. Is this a draft project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB's criteria? (Yes or No)

Why?

2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?

3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?

4. Other comments:

POST APPLICATION - LEAD ENTITY & PROJECT SPONSOR RESPONSES

Directions: Lead Entity or Sponsor must post their response to Review Panel comments in **PRISM** with document name: Response to Review Panel Comments. Attach this as a separate document in PRISM to become part of your application, and send your grant manger an e-mail.

Response:
Attach Response to PRISM, and send your Grant Manager an e-mail.
Grant Manager will put in the PRISM attachment number here.

FINAL REVIEW PANEL COMMENTS

Date:

Panel Member(s) Name:

Final Project Status:

Refer to Manual # 18, Appendix E-1, for projects that are not considered technically sound. In the "Why" box, explain your reason for selecting this as a project of concern.

1. Is this a project of concern (POC) according to the SRFB's criteria? (Yes or No)

Why?

2. If YES, what would make this a technically sound project according to the SRFB's criteria?

3. If NO, are there ways in which this project could be further improved?

4. Other comments: